arXiv:hep-th/0702047v2 6 Feb 2007

Absolute Time and Temperature in Quantum and Classical Relativistic Mechanics

Vadim V. Asadov$^{\star 1}$ and Oleg V. Kechkin$^{+\star 2}$

$^{+}$Institute of Nuclear Physics,
Lomonosov Moscow State University,
Vorob'jovy Gory, 119899 Moscow, Russia

* Neur OK–III,
Scientific park of MSU, Center for Informational Technologies–104,
Vorob'jovy Gory, 119899 Moscow, Russia

Abstract

We present a relativistic quantum mechanics of a point mass with absolute thermodynamic time and temperature, combined to a single complex parameter of evolution. In this theory, the geometric time is introduced as one of space-time coordinates; it does not coincide with the thermodynamic time on the kinematical level. It is established, that the theory allows a consistent dynamics with nontrivial probability density at the limit $\hbar \to 0$. We identify this dynamics with the classical one, and prove its relativistic invariance. It is shown, that the thermodynamical time becomes proportional to the proper time of the point mass in the conventional classical regime of its evolution with the delta-functional distribution of the probability density.

PACS No(s). : 05.30.-d, 05.70.-a.

One of the most intriguing problems of the modern theoretical physics is related to contradiction between invertible character of all known fundamental dynamical theories and

[1]asadov@neurok.ru
[2]kechkin@depni.sinp.msu.ru

unidirectional evolution of the real world, which is governed by the second law of thermodynamics. The simplest and the most natural way to improve this strange situation is to develop fundamental 'microtheories' with well-defined arrow of time, and after that to ground the thermodynamics on the base of their irreversible dynamics. We think, that the phenomena of arising of the arrow of time ([1]–[4]) is fundamental itself. It cannot be generated by any procedure of average out type in framework of some 'macrotheory' using a really consistent mathematics.

Recently we have shown, that quantum theory with complex parameter of evolution and non-Hermitian Hamiltonian structure provides an adequate framework for description of quantum systems with the such unidirectional dynamics. In this theory, the 'usual' time is the real part of the parameter of evolution, whereas the corresponding imaginary part is proportional to the inverse absolute temperature of the system. Then, the Hermitian part of the Hamiltonian is identified with the energy operator of the theory, and the anti-Hermitian one represents its decay operator. This generalized quantum theory is considered as analytical in respect to the complex time; the decay operator is taken as commuting one with the operator of energy [5].

Then, it was demonstrated, how one can define the essentially macroscopic thermodynamic regime of the evolution. For the isothermal and adiabatic regimes, it was proven the presence of the arrow of time in dynamics of the quantum systems in the case of nontrivial operator of decay. Also, it was presented one important realization of this general theoretical scheme, which relates the arrow of time in the dynamics with the droningly increasing parity violation for the solutions [6]. We think, that the dynamical mechanism of the parity violation (not of the 'left-right type' only) has the universal sense, and can be the fundamental reason for the validity of the second law of thermodynamics.

It was established a consistent classical limit of the quantum theory under consideration. The corresponding dynamics is defined as the quantum one in the limit $\hbar \to 0$. In this dynamics, one defines a trajectory of maximum of the probability density, which is not only the delta-distribution in the our variant of the classical theory. It was proven, that this classical dynamics is time-irreversible too (at least, for the corresponding regimes of quantum evolution with arrow of time) [7]. Thus, it is possible to say about unification performed for the both quantum and classical theories with the irreversible principles of thermodynamics.

The only problem arisen in this approach is related to the very special role of time in its formulation. However, this situation is not unusual for the dynamics, represented in the Hamilton's form. Of course, one can try to reformulate the theory in the Lagrange terms, but it seems really problematic here. In this work we choose another way to construct the transparently relativistic theory: we suggest to use two different times – the 'thermodynamic' and 'geometric' ones. Here, the geometric time is understood as one of the space-time

coordinates; the Hamiltonian must be taken as Poincare-invariant quantity to deal with the transparently relativistic quantum theory.

In the quantum realization of the theory, the wave-function Ψ depends analytically on the complex parameter of evolution τ, and also on the set of space-time coordinates x^μ (where $\mu = 0, ..., 3$). Here

$$\tau = t - i\,\frac{\hbar}{2}\,\beta, \tag{1}$$

where t is the thermodynamic time, and β is proportional to the inverse absolute temperature T of the system ($\beta = 1/kT$, where k is the Bolzman constant). We would like to stress again, that the geometric time x^0 is not identic to the thermodynamic time t – these are the completely independent quantities. The Schrodinger's equation and the analytical condition, imposed on the wave-function $\Psi = \Psi(\tau, x^\mu)$, read:

$$i\hbar\Psi_{,\,\tau} = \mathcal{H}\Psi, \qquad \Psi_{,\,\tau^*} = 0. \tag{2}$$

Here, the only non-specified quantity is the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$, which must be constructed using the energy operator E and the decay operator Γ according to the relation $\mathcal{H} = E - i\,\hbar\,\Gamma/2$ (see [5]). In this work we do not plan to study arrow of time effects in the theory, so we put $\Gamma = 0$. Then, to write down the energy operator in the relativistic invariant form, one can start from the Einstein's formula $E = mc^2$ for the system, describing a point particle with the mass m. Let us consider this system, and rewrite the 'Einsten's' operator of energy in the Poincare-invariant form, using the 4-moment P_μ and the Minkowskian metric $g_{0\,\mu\nu}$ (with the signature $-+++$). The corresponding Hamiltonian reads:

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{m}\,g_0^{\mu\nu}P_\mu P_\nu. \tag{3}$$

The last step is to put

$$P_\mu = -i\,\hbar\,\partial_\mu; \tag{4}$$

the resulting theory (2)–(4) has explicitly relativistic invariance if one defines the thermodynamic quantities t and β as the relativistic scalars. In doing so, we mean the presence of some 'universal thermostat', which can be identified with the physical vacuum of the theory. Note, that the thermodynamic and geometric times have completely different transformation properties.

To construct the classical realization of this system, one must represent the wave-function in the standard exponential form,

$$\Psi = \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\,\mathcal{S}\right). \tag{5}$$

Then, the complex phase $\mathcal{S}$ must be parameterized as

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_1 + i\,\frac{\hbar}{2}\,\mathcal{S}_2 \tag{6}$$

in terms of the real functions $\mathcal{S}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_2$ of the real variables t, β, and x^μ. This parametrization allows one to save a nontrivial probability structure in the classical limit of the theory [7]. Actually, the quantity $w = |\Psi|^2 = \exp\left(-\mathcal{S}_2\right)$ defines the probability density ρ accordingly the relation $\rho = w/Z$, where $Z = \int dx\, w$. Let us define the classical value of the given quantity as its limit at $\hbar \to 0$. It is clear, that the classical value of the probability density is defined by the classical value of the function $\mathcal{S}_2$ completely. This means, that the nontrivial probability can be a fundamental property of the classical systems (i.e., of the $\hbar$-independent ones), if their dynamics supports the essentially distributed character of the function $\mathcal{S}_2$. Of course, in the case of the δ-functional distribution, one comes back to the standard deterministic variant of the classical theory.

To establish the new classical formalism, let us rewrite, at the first time, the quantum dynamical system (2) in terms of the real variables t and β, using Eq. (1). After that, it is necessary to substitute the parametrization (5)–(6) into the dynamical equations, obtained at the previous step. Finally, one must calculate the limit at $\hbar \to 0$ for the four real dynamical equations of the theory (they define all partial derivatives of the first order for the quantities $\mathcal{S}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_2$ in respect to the variables t and β). Let us denote the classical value of $\mathcal{S}_\alpha$ as S_α ($\alpha = 1, 2$). Then, the corresponding pair of the dynamical equations for the phase S_1 reads:

$$\mathrm{S}_{1,\,t} = -E, \qquad \mathrm{S}_{1,\,\beta} = 0, \tag{7}$$

where now the energy function has its classical value (in the conventional Hamilton-Jacobi sense):

$$E = -\frac{1}{m}\; g_0^{\mu\nu}\,\mathrm{S}_{1,\,\mu}\mathrm{S}_{1,\,\nu}. \tag{8}$$

Thus, the function S_1 does not depend on the temperature. Moreover, its t-dependence is defined by the standard Hamilton-Jacobi equation. Then, by setting

$$\mathrm{S}_2 = \mathrm{S}_e + \beta\, E \tag{9}$$

on the classical values of the all functions written, one obtains for S_e the following defining system of equations:

$$\mathrm{S}_{e,\,t} - \frac{2}{m}\, g_0^{\mu\nu}\, \mathrm{S}_{1,\,\mu}\mathrm{S}_{e,\,\nu} = -\frac{2}{m}\, g_0^{\mu\nu}\, \mathrm{S}_{1,\,\mu\nu}, \qquad \mathrm{S}_{e,\,\beta} = 0. \tag{10}$$

Thus, the 'entropy function' $\mathrm{S}_e = \mathrm{S}_e(t,\, x^\mu)$ is β-independent too; its t-dependence is defined completely by the 'action function' $\mathrm{S}_1 = \mathrm{S}_1(t,\, x^\mu)$. Note, that the modified classical dynamics under construction is the Cauchy problem with the equations (7), (10), and the initial conditions $\mathrm{S}_1(\, t_0, x^\mu) = \mathrm{S}_{1\,0}(x^\mu),\ \mathrm{S}_e(\, t_0, x^\mu) = \mathrm{S}_{e\,0}(x^\mu)$ with the arbitrary initial data $\mathrm{S}_{1\,0}(x^\mu)$ and $\mathrm{S}_{e\,0}(x^\mu)$ taken. The only restrictions on these data are related to the existence of all integral quantities, which must be written in their terms.

We define the classical trajectory of the point mass m using the necessary extremum condition for the probability density. It is equivalent to the one for the function S_2, calculated in the classical limit. The necessary extremum condition, i.e. the relation $\partial \mathrm{S}_2/\partial x^\mu = 0$, defines the classical world sheet $x^\mu = x^\mu(t,\, \beta)$ of the theory. One can differentiate this relation in respect to t and β on the world sheet, and obtain the following equations:

$$x^\mu_{,\,t} = \frac{2}{m}\left[-g_0^{\mu\nu} p_\nu + \left(A_2^{-1}\right)^{\mu\nu} \Box \mathrm{S}_{1,\,\nu}\right], \qquad x^\mu_{,\,\beta} = \frac{2}{m}\left(A_2^{-1} A_1\right)^\mu_{\ \nu}\, g_0^{\nu\lambda} p_\lambda. \tag{11}$$

Here $(\mathrm{A}_\alpha)_{\mu\nu} = \partial^2 \mathrm{S}_\alpha/\partial \mathrm{x}^\mu \partial \mathrm{x}^\nu\ \ (\alpha = 1, 2)$, and the introduced momentum variables are defined as $p_\mu = \partial \mathrm{S}_1/\partial x^\mu$ (the derivatives are calculated on the classical world sheet). Then, the dynamical equations for the momentums read:

$$p_{\mu,\,t} = \frac{2}{m}\left(A_1 A_2^{-1}\right)_\mu^{\ \nu} \Box \mathrm{S}_{1,\,\nu}, \qquad p_{\mu,\,\beta} = \frac{2}{m}\left(A_1 A_2^{-1} A_1\right)_{\mu\nu} g_0^{\nu\lambda} p_\lambda. \tag{12}$$

It is seen, that the Hamilton-like system of the equations (11)–(12) is transparently relativistic-invariant.

To detect the total t-dependence of the coordinates and moments, which is defined by the total derivatives $\dot{x}^\mu = x^\mu_{,t} + \dot{\beta} x^\mu_{,\beta}$ and $\dot{p}_\mu = p_{\mu,t} + \dot{\beta} p_{\mu,\,\beta}$, one must fix the 'temperature curve' $\beta = \beta(t)$. However, in the 'hard' classical regime with the highly-resonance type of the probability density, one obtains a great simplification for the dynamics under consideration. In concrete situation with a (quasi) Gaussian resonance, the main part of the 'phase' S_2 is given by its second differential $d^2\mathrm{S}_2 = \mathrm{A}_{2\,\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu$ with the center on the classical trajectory. It is clear, that the leading input of the second differential is guaranteed, if the matrix A_2 is positively defined and diverges, i.e., if

$$A_2^{-1} \to 0. \tag{13}$$

In this 'hard' classical regime one obtains, that $\dot{p}_\mu = 0$, i.e. $p_\mu = p_{0\,\mu} = p_\mu(t_0)$, and that

$$\dot{x}^\mu = -\frac{2}{m}\, p_0^\mu. \tag{14}$$

Note, that the 'hard' classical dynamics does not depend on β, so in this approximation the temperature regime $\beta = \beta(t)$ does not affect on trajectory. Also, from Eq. (14) it follows, that the thermodynamical time t is proportional to the proper time of the particle under consideration. Of course, one can rescale the energy operator E to make these time variables coinciding. In doing so, we mean, that $p_{0\mu}p_0^\mu = -m^2c^2$, i.e. that the mass parameter m is related to the initial momentum value.

Thus, in the case of highly-resonance distribution of the probability density, effectively one has the single time in the theory dynamics. However, in the general situation, where one deals with nontrivial 'probability stream' not only on the single world line, but in the whole space-time, one comes back to the classical dynamics with the sufficiently double time structure. Of course, it is possible to split this 'probability stream' into congruence of the (world) lines defined by the tangent moment vector field p_μ. For the resulting world line structure, $x^\mu = x^\mu(t)$ for the any fixed temperature regime $\beta = \beta(t)$. These world lines can be curved: it is easy to understand using the discussed extremal trajectories in the general case of $\mathrm{A}_2^{-1} \neq 0$, see Eqs. (11), (12). It is interesting to note, that the curved world lines originate in the free theory: actually, the Hamiltonian taken is the coordinate-independent and quadratic in respect to the moments.

Such the dynamical properties make the classical theory under consideration really close to the General Relativity, where the free motion is realized on the curved world lines too [8]. We hope to develop this analogy as far as it possible 'to derive gravity from quantum mechanics'. This program will not only follow to reformulation of the pure geometrical General Relativity in the probability terms of the quantum mechanics: our general scheme also contains a temperature and decay dynamical variables. Thus, it seems possible to develop a modification of the General Relativity with different temperature regimes and arrow of time (at least for the physically important part of them). Such generalized theoretical framework will be really useful for cosmology and black hole physics [9]–[12]. Actually, in these geometrically based disciplines the correct appearance of the arrow of time is one of the most waited, important and not realized property.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank prof. B.S. Ishkhanov for many discussions and private talks which

were really useful for us during this work preparation. One of the authors (O.V.K) was supported by grant MD 3623. 2006. 2.

References

[1] A. Magnon, Arrow of time and reality: in search of a conciliation, Singapore, Singapore: World Scientific (1997).

[2] L. Diosi, Lect. Notes Phys. **633** (2004) 125.

[3] M. Castagnino, L. Lara, O. Lombardi, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **42** (2003) 2487.

[4] I. Prigogine, The arrow of time, Published in *Rome/Pescara (1999),

[5] V. Asadov, O. Kechkin, 'Generalized Quantum Dynamics with Arrow of Time', preprint hep-th/0612122.

[6] V. Asadov, O. Kechkin, 'Parity Violation and Arrow of Time in Generalized Quantum Dynamics', preprint hep-th/0612123.

[7] V. Asadov, O. Kechkin, 'Classical Dynamics of Quantum Numbers with Arrow of Time', preprint hep-th/0702022.

[8] Carroll S.M., Lecture notes on general relativity, NSF-ITP-97-147 (1997).

[9] Frolov V.P., Novikov I.D. Black hole physics: basic concepts and new developments. Dordrecht, Germany: Kluwer Academic, 1998.

[10] Maldacena J.M. Black holes in string theory. Princeton U. Ph.D. Thesis. (1996).

[11] J.W. Van Holten, Gravitational waves and black holes: an introduction to general relativity, Fortsch. Phys. 45, 439-516, 1997.

[12] Linde A.D. Elementary particles and inflationary Universe: on present formation of theories. Heidelberg, Germany: Spektrum Akad. Verl. 1993.